

1–3 March 2016 FORT WORTH, TEXAS Fort Worth Convention Center

IADC / SPE 178850 True Lies: Measuring Drilling and Completion Efficiency



^G John de Wardt CEng FIMechE DE WARDT AND COMPANY de Wardt & Company

Global Management Consultant Oil and Gas





Brand name co-authors

- Peter Rushmore Retired: London, UK
- Phil Scott Technical Limit Engineering: Perth, Australia

Brand name support

• Ford Brett – PetroSkills: Tulsa, USA



Understanding and measuring the true performance gap

- Efficiency analogies used in industry provide guidance
- Currently used measures in drilling are false (lies)
- Managers huge error focusing on NPT for measuring performance
 o deceiving themselves with false understanding (lies)
- Invisible Lost Time [ILT] is the true key to performing
- Understanding Technical Limit [TL] and Maximum Theoretical Performance [MTP]
- A true multi faceted drilling efficiency model
 - o calculated, benchmarks, offsets,
- Case Studies show the impact
- Industry recommendations

Industry Efficiency Analogies – how do they measure?

- Manufacturing
 - efficiency is the ratio of the <u>current productivity</u> level to the <u>best</u>
 <u>practice productivity</u> level
- Lean Manufacturing
 - the percentage of planned production time that is <u>truly</u> productive
 - 100% represents <u>perfect production</u>
- Construction
 - input/output ratio based on quantitative and qualitative measurements
 - Bröchner 10 basic requirements relevant to drilling



IADC/SPE DRILLING CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION

Measures Currently In Use for Drilling

- Actual versus planned
 - Judgmental, tends to be relative to own performance
- Feet per Day / Cost per Foot
 - Different designs / well challenges, different contract rates
- Non Productive Times
 - Seduces managers to a false understanding
- Variety of detailed Key Performance Indicators (KPI's)
 - Lose track of overall performance
 - Waterbed effect focus here causes negative change there
- Industry benchmark system
 - Valuable however primarily operator membership

Challenges:

- > Varying well design
- Geological uncertainty
- Product quality

Balance measurement focus: ➢ Time reduction ➢ Functionality and quality ➢ Safety

The Fallacy of Non Productive Time as a Performance Measure

- Same well drilled faster with same NPT hours
 - NPT percentage increases
 - Higher true performance, lower NPT performance
- Drill slower to improve NPT % results
 - Negative driver of performance
 - Plan for NPT events
- Offshore NPT is chronic and stable at around 20%
 - NPT focus has not been effective to improve performance
- Improving Productive Time (PT) bigger impact than reducing NPT
 - Operators have more control over PT than NPT

True Value: Focus on Reducing Invisible Lost Time



True Value: Focus on Reducing Invisible Lost Time

- ILT is caused by multiple sources, including:
 - Delayed off critical path activities
 - Planning on job not before
 - Planning includes inefficiencies not solutions
- Making ILT visible creates a valuable improvement opportunity
- Issue for ILT is defining the reference time to determine the gap from current performance

Technical Limit and Maximum Theoretical Performance

- Challenge = <u>Calculate</u> well drilling /completion times in a <u>systematic</u>, <u>consistent</u> and <u>non-emotional</u> manner
- Technical Limit offers a solution
 - Estimated time to drill theoretical well from flawless execution
 - Aggressiveness is function of the team
- Maximum Theoretical Performance is the solution
 - Minimum time <u>calculated</u> from physical factors
 - Perfect Well Calculation with Perfect Well Ratio
 - Aggressiveness is function of the calculation

Perfect Well Ratio for various well types



IADC/SPE DRILLING CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION

Drilling Efficiency Model provides alternative time references

- Best of the Best (BOB) Internal
 - measure of best component performance
- Best in Cass (BIC) Benchmarking
 - comparison to other companies
- Technical Limit (TL) Theoretical Times
 - developed from analysis and team estimates
- Maximum Theoretical Performance (MTP) Calculated
 - physics of the drilling, perfect operations
 - weighted to reflect difficulty of operating environment

Drilling Efficiency Model provides alternative time references



Mid East Land Drilling – Dayrate, High Complexity



IADC/SPE DRILLING CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION

Deep Offshore Far East Drilling -Appraisal



IADC/SPE DRILLING CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION

Mid East Land Drilling – Lump Sum Project Managed

Phase 1:Lean Drilling[™] Program Improvement = 26% well to well across 4 rigs



IADC/SPE DRILLING CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION

USA Land – "Super" Performance Drillers

Public reported data estimation



IADC/SPE DRILLING CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION

True Drilling Efficiency is in the Doldrums

- Challenging environment for drilling (wells and logistics and security)
 - 20% aiming for 24%
- Deeper offshore has very low true efficiencies
 - 14% aiming for 28 % (a doubling)
- Lump sum operations affected by start up challenges
 - 24% jumped to 32% with a target of 75%
- USA tight gas achieved 78%
 - Estimated improvements total to 90%
- Offshore Thailand is effectively 100%
 - Off critical path well to well
- USA Colorado basin is effectively 100%

Recommendations

- Do <u>**NOT**</u> justify being a poor performer True Lies
- Understand that calculating MTP shows what is truly possible
 - applying performance ratios for well types shows hurdles to cross
 - performance ratios show % efficiency that is possible in well types
- Aggressive targets expose large performance deficiencies
 - challenge is to motivate drilling team to address deficiencies
- Use the structured method for BOB, BIC, TL and MTP
 - educate engineers, operations and <u>managers</u> to the meaning, purpose and value of each

True efficiency has very high value in current business climate

- Shale / tight gas driller performance gains contributed to lower oil / gas prices
- Drilling performance is a competitive advantage for hydrocarbon development
- High drilling performance required for economic approval

Conclusions

- Crisis drives step change performance
 - The true crises is here!
- MTP and MTP with well ratios is non emotional and definitive
- True 90% to 100% performance is possible
 - 90% "operational" efficiency may only be 25% true drilling efficiency = asleep at the switch
- NPT alone as a management tool is detrimental to true performance improvement



1–3 March 2016 FORT WORTH, TEXAS Fort Worth Convention Center

Slide 23

Acknowledgements

Co-authors: Peter H Rushmore, Retired and Phillip W Scott, Technical Limit Engineering Support: Ford Brett, Petroskills

Thank You / Questions

John de Wardt, DE WARDT AND COMPANY

